### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	RNATIONAL SE	ARCHING AUTH	IORITY				
То	:				PCT		
	see form	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT			
					(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	licant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
1	national application T/JP2005/00473		International filing date (c 10.03.2005	11.03.2004			
ı .	national Patent Clas		both national classification	and IPC			
	icant						
	YOTA JIDOSHA	KABUSHIKI K	AISHA				
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
	⊠ Box No. I		•	<b>g</b>			
	Box No. II	Basis of the op Priority	inion				
	Box No. III	•	ent of oninion with road	rd to povolty invent	ive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of		d to novery, invent	ive step and industrial applicability		
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state		1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such sta	novelty, inventive step or industrial terment		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume		•			
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international appli	cation			
	🛛 Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internationa	l application			
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION					
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	submit to the IPE	A a written reply date of mailing o	together, where appropr	riate, with amendme	IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,		
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.				
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name	and mailing addres	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Blanc, S

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4108



# AP8 Rec'd PC1/P10 559826

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/004739

	Box	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lan	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material:						
	E	] ;	a sequence listing			
		] 1	table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
	Ε	J i	n written format			
		] i	n computer readable form			
	c. tin	time of filing/furnishing:				
		3 6	contained in the international application as filed.			
		] f	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		] <b>f</b>	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional comments:					

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Box No. V industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

No:

Yes: Claims

Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/004739

#### Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 008, no. 094 (M-293), 28 April 1984 (1984-04-28) &; JP 59 007720 A (MITSUBISHI JIDOSHA KOGYO KK), 14 January 1984 (1984-01-14)

2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

a method of operating an exhaust purifying system for regeneration of a particle filter by injecting unburned fuel in the exhaust line upstream of the filter for increasing the temperature and burning the particles when the filter is loaded. Additionally, a timer automatically operates a recombustion device for a certain time after stopping the engine.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that: in the specific case that the engine would be stopped during the regeneration process, the regeneration process is reactivated when the engine is restarted even if the filter is not fully loaded anymore.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of claims 1 and 7 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)
- 2.2 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as ensuring the complete regeneration of the filter for vehicles that have to stop often their engine, for example stop-and-go vehicles.

None of the cited documents (see search report) show a similar solution to solve the problem raised by the application. Therefore the solution to this problem proposed in claims 1 and 7 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

2.3 Claims 2-6 and 8-10 are dependent on claims 1 and 7 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

PCT/JP2005/004739

#### Re Item VIII.

- 3. The features in the apparatus claims 1-6 relate to a method of using the apparatus or a method for purifying exhaust gas rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The intended limitations are therefore not clear from this claims, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT. Additionally, the set of claims as a whole is not concise, because the features of the claims 1-6 are repeated in the claims 7-10.
- 4. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.